

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,036	05/20/2004	Raimund Schaller	P25369	7028	
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 08/27/2007 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.	EXAMINER			
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE	MAHYERA, TRISTAN J			
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1609		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

			Application No. Applic		Applicant(s)	licant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/849,036		SCHALLER, RAIMUND				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
		E .	Tristan J. Mahyera		1609				
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communicately	ation appea	ars on the cover sheet v	with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCLUDING THE MAIN SOFT OF THE	ILING DAT 37 CFR 1.136(sication. tory period will ll, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MC nuse the application to become A	IICATION a reply be time ONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)□ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on							
			ction is non-final.						
3)☐ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.		•					
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∏ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)∐ Cla	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction	and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	placement drawing sheet(s) including th			_					
11)[] The	e oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exan	niner. Note the attache	ed Office A	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					·			
12) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-((d) or (f).				
a) <u></u>	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				,				
1.[Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments h	ave been received.						
2.[Certified copies of the priority do	cuments h	ave been received in A	Applicatio	n No				
3.[☐ Copies of the certified copies of	the priority	documents have beer	n received	in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internationa	•	1 1						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)					•				
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary /5	PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date)				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		5)		ent Application				
i apci 140	(-)		<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	 -					

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 6-8, drawn to a part region, classified in class 427, subclass 2.3.
 - II. Claims 12, 13, 16, 24-26, drawn to antibacterial, antiviral, germicidal, spermicidal or protective, classified in class 424, subclass 404.
 - III. Claims 14, 15 and 55, drawn to vitamins and plant extracts, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - IV. Claim 17, drawn to pressure sensitive shell, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - V. Claims 21-23, drawn to recesses, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - VI. Claims 27 and 28, drawn to raised areas, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - VII. Claims 35 and 36, drawn to a method wherein the liquid is removed, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - VIII. Claims 37 and 38, drawn to a method wherein a shell is used, classified in class 424, subclass 402.
 - IX. Claims 39, 40 and 43, drawn to a method wherein an antibacterial, antiviral, germicidal, spermicidal or protective is used, classified in class 424, subclass 404.

Art Unit: 1609

X. Claims 41 and 42, drawn to a method wherein a vitamin or plant extract is used, classified in class 514, subclass 65.

Page 3

XI. Claims 47, 48, 51 and 52, drawn to a method wherein recesses are produced, classified in class 424, subclass 402.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Claims 1-5, 9-11, 18-20, 53 and 54 link(s) inventions of Group I to Group VI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-5, 9-11, 18-20, 53 and 54. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is

Art Unit: 1609

withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

3. Claims 29-34, 44-46, 49, 50, 56-59 link(s) inventions of Group VII to Group XI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 29-34, 44-46, 49, 50, 56-59. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1609

Restrictions Proper

Page 5

4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly

Art Unit: 1609

and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Notice of Joint Inventorship

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1609

Notice of Rejoinder

Page 7

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1609

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tristan J. Mahyera whose telephone number is 571-270-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9am-4pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TJM/

ARDIN H. MÅRSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8